

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,050	•	03/24/2004	Kurt L. Barth	503	4201
28782	7590	09/01/2006		EXAMINER	
WILLIAM	E HEIN		EVERHART, CARIDAD		
PO BOX 335 LOVELAND, CO 80539-0335				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2891	
				DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2-1,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/808,050	BARTH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Caridad M. Everhart	2891					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
_							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are objected to.	′)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-30</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2891

Drawings

The drawings were received on 1-23-2006. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Objections

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is not antecedent basis for the recitation "the selected one or more". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/808,050

Art Unit: 2891

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heyer, et al. (US 6,291,799B1) in view of Tateishi et al (JP357095624, Abstract).

Heyer et al disclose an apparatus which includes a pair of spaced apart parallel metal belts (col. 4, lines 27-32 and Fig. 1 shows parallel belts 16) which are within the heat treatment apparatus(col. 1, lines 32-40) and periodically aligned spaced apart tabs on the belts(feature 20 in Fig. 1 and col. 5, lines 65-67 and col. 6, lines 1-5). The heating apparatus has the wafers entering a first opening and exiting a second opening(Fig. 1), in order to process the wafers continuously and automatically(col. 1, lines 6-10 and col. 4, lines 51-56). Heyer et al further disclose that there are heated pockets in the heating chamber(col. 5, lines 25-33), in which the gas is maintained without exchange between the areas(col. 6, lines 33-40), which indicates that air is restricted from leaking and satisfies the limitation of claim 27 with respect to preventing leaks.

Heyer et al is silent with respect to vacuum.

Tateish, et al discloses that the diffusion of dopants takes place in vacuum.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the process apparatus taught by Heyer et al is a vacuum apparatus because Tateish et al teaches that the diffusion of dopants is a vacuum process and

Application/Control Number: 10/808,050

Art Unit: 2891

Heyer et al teaches that the process carried out in the apparatus taught by Heyer et al is a dopant diffusion process(col. 1, lines 25-37).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 29 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record does not teach or suggest the limitation "to move each of the substrates from one heated pocket to another", nor the limitation "includes a high voltage pin coupled…".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 571-272-1892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, B. Baumeister can be reached on 571-272-1722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

// ~ 4/

Application/Control Number: 10/808,050

Art Unit: 2891

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

C. Everhart 8-26-2006